



Ref.: ASA 13/017/2003

Prime Minister Begum Khaleda Zia
Office of the Prime Minister
Gona Bhaban
Sher-e Bangla Nagar
Dhaka
Bangladesh

30 June 2003

Dear Prime Minister,

Open letter to the Prime Minister urging rejection of the impunity agreement with the USA concerning the International Criminal Court

Amnesty International is concerned about reports that the Government of Bangladesh is considering signing a bilateral agreement with the United States of America (USA) providing impunity to any USA nationals within its territory if a warrant of arrest or surrender has been issued against them by the International Criminal Court (ICC) on grounds of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes committed anywhere including crime committed on the territory of your state.

Amnesty International is writing to urge you to refuse to sign this agreement, as it would violate Bangladesh's obligations under international law, including the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide, 9 December 1948 (Genocide Convention) which was ratified by Bangladesh on 5 October 1998.

Signing the above mentioned agreement with the USA will violate Bangladesh's obligation under Article 4 of the Genocide Convention which states:

“Persons committing genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III shall be punished, whether they are constitutionally responsible rulers, public officials or private individuals”.

In addition, Bangladesh would be in breach of Article 6 of that convention which states:

“Persons charged with genocide or any of the other acts enumerated in article III shall be tried by a competent tribunal of the State in the territory of which the act was committed, or by such international penal tribunal as may have jurisdiction with respect to those Contracting Parties which shall have accepted its jurisdiction.”

In addition, signing the US impunity agreement would violate Bangladesh's obligations under the Rome Statute, as explained below, as a signatory of the Statute not to defeat its object and purpose.

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL

INTERNATIONAL SECRETARIAT

Peter Benenson House, 1 Easton Street, London WC1X 0DW, United Kingdom

Tel: Int. Code: 44 (20) 7413 5500. UK Code: 020 7413 5500. Fax: Int. Code: 44 (20) 7956 1157. UK Code: 020 7056 1157

E-Mail: amnestyvis@amnesty.org

Web: <http://www.amnesty.org>

Amnesty International has been working towards the establishment of the ICC for nearly 10 years, believing that it is an essential mechanism to end impunity for the worst crimes known to humanity. No one should have impunity for these crimes. Amnesty International, together with the majority of the international community, believes that the US government's concerns that the ICC will be used to bring politically motivated prosecutions against US nationals are wholly unfounded; the substantial safeguards and fair trial guarantees in the Rome Statute would ensure that such a situation would not arise. Amnesty International is confident that the ICC, with 18 of the highest qualified and respected judges in the international community and a highly qualified and experienced Prosecutor, will allay the US government's concerns and that the US government will in due course change its position.

Amnesty International hopes that you will consider the following legal arguments against impunity agreements which are set out in detail in *International Criminal Court: US efforts to obtain impunity for genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes* (AI Index: IOR 40/025/2002), published by Amnesty International in August 2002 and available from www.amnesty.org/icc/.

- Impunity agreements are unlawful because they commit states to violate their legal obligations under international law, including the Rome Statute, to bring those responsible for genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes to justice.
- Impunity agreements are not permitted by the Rome Statute. US assertions that the agreements are provided for in Article 98 of the Statute are incorrect, as numerous legal analyses, including by Amnesty International, conclude. This article was designed to cover existing Status of Forces Agreements, which determine which state has jurisdiction to investigate and prosecute crimes committed by forces stationed in another country, not agreements designed to give nationals of one state impunity from international justice.
- Impunity agreements contain no assurance that if US nationals are not surrendered to the ICC they will be brought to justice in the USA or anywhere else. In fact, in some cases the US judicial system would not be able to do so as US criminal law does not include many of the crimes under international law included in the Rome Statute.

The European Union's legal experts have also analysed the agreement and have reached the same conclusion: "[e]ntering into US agreements - as presently drafted - would be inconsistent with ICC States Parties' obligations with regard to the ICC Statute and may be inconsistent with other international agreements to which ICC States Parties are Parties." The European Union further issued guiding principles which Amnesty International analyses in *International Criminal Court: The need for the European Union to take more effective steps to prevent members from signing US impunity agreements* (AI Index: IOR 40/030/2002), published in October 2002 and available from www.amnesty.org/icc/.

A state ratifying such an impunity agreement would also give up its sovereign right to decide which court - whether one of its own courts, the courts of another state seeking extradition or the ICC - would exercise jurisdiction over persons found in its territory accused of crimes, including crimes in its own territory. If the USA decided not to investigate or prosecute the accused, the state that surrendered the person would have no way to compel the accused to return for investigation and prosecution in its courts, or the courts of another state, or to ensure the surrender of the accused to the ICC. In addition, each state ratifying such an agreement would have to renegotiate its existing extradition treaties for the agreement to be effective, a time-consuming and ultimately futile exercise, since many states have already made it clear that they will not agree to such renegotiations.

I would also like to point out that so far, to the best of our knowledge, the USA has not taken retaliatory measures against any state that has refused to sign such agreements. The *American Servicemembers' Protection Act* which authorises withdrawal of military assistance also provides that the President may waive it at any time for reasons of 'national interest'. There is therefore no obligation on the US Administration to cease military aid if an impunity agreement is not signed.

We hope that you will refuse to enter into this agreement or any agreement that seeks to provide impunity to anyone accused of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes. We also hope that you will take steps to promote Bangladesh's ratification of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

For Irene Khan, Secretary General